Reply to Office Action dated: August 21, 2009

Reply dated: October 21, 2009

Remarks

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed

August 21, 2009.

I. <u>Summary of Examiner's Rejections</u>

Prior to the Office Action mailed August 21, 2009, Claims 1-80 were pending in the

Application. In the Office Action, Claims 1-80 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Anuff, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,327,628, hereinafter Anuff) in view of Abel, et

al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0084401, hereinafter Abel) and in further view of

Saulpaugh, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,548,946, hereinafter Saulpaugh).

II. Summary of Applicant's Amendment

The present Reply amends Claims 1, 13-15, 17, 59, 72-74, and 76; cancels Claims 16,

21-58, 75, and 80; and add new Claims 81-83, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration

Claims 1-20, 59-74, 76-69, and 81-83.

III. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

In the Office Action, Claims 1-80 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Anuff, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,327,628, hereinafter Anuff) in view of Abel, et

al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0084401, hereinafter Abel) and in further view of

Saulpaugh, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,548,946, hereinafter Saulpaugh).

Claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended to recite as following.

1. (Currently Amended) A method for rendering a portal graphical user interface

(GUI), comprising:

providing a set of controls, wherein the set of controls can be organized in a

logical hierarchy, wherein each said control represents a corresponding graphical

element in the portal GUI; wherein each said control has properties that can be read and

set, and at least one said control can interact with another said control through an event

notification mechanism, wherein each said control is implemented as one or more

9

Reply to Office Action dated: August 21, 2009

Reply dated: October 21, 2009

classes in an object-oriented programming paradigm, wherein each said control has one

or more methods which can be overridden to provide specialization of the control;

traversing, using at least one processor, the representation, wherein the

traversing comprises:

associating a first theme with a first control in the set of controls;

rendering the first control according to the first theme;

rendering any descendents of the first control according to the first theme

unless the theme is overridden;

overriding a second control, which is a descendent of the first control, with a

second theme such that the second control uses the second theme and any descendent

of the second control uses the second theme unless the second theme is overridden;

and

rendering the first control according to the first theme in parallel with rendering of

the second control according to the second theme.

Anuff discloses a portal server that comprises a plurality of modules in a pre-determined

format (Abstract). Anuff further discloses that a user can view different types of information at

once through a browser (Column 3, Lines 28-32).

However, Applicant respectfully submits that viewing different types of information is a

feature at the user side, while rendering different controls in parallel, as embodied in Claim 1, is

a feature at the server side. Hence there is no indication in Anuff that different controls can be

rendered in parallel.

In addition, the embodiment as described in Claim 1 includes features such as the

second control being a descendent of the first control, and the second control is overridden with

a second theme such that any descendent of the second control uses the second theme unless

the second theme is overridden.

Abel discloses that a web page is localized based on a selected characteristics

(Abstract). Abel further discloses that the instance of the LocalizedPage class overrides the

standard Render method of the standard ASP.NET page class with a special Render method.

However, there is no indication in Abel that any descendent of the instance of the

LocalizedPage class uses the special Render method unless it is overridden, and there is also

no indication in Abel that the rendering of the instance of the LocalizedPage class is in parallel

with the rendering of the standard ASP.NET page class.

10

Reply to Office Action dated: August 21, 2009

Reply dated: October 21, 2009

Saulpaugh discloses a message gate that is a message endpoint for a client or service

to communicate with another client or service (Abstract). Saulpaugh further discloses that the

message gate provides a mechanism to restrict message flow between clients and services

and the messages includes event notification message (Column 17-18, Lines 63-23).

However, there is no indication in Saulpaugh that the message gate represents a

corresponding graphical and functional element in the portal GUI. In addition, there is no

indication in Saulpaugh that the event notification message is from another control in the same

portal GUI.

Applicant also respectfully submits that neither Anuff, Abel, nor other cited references

appear to disclose or render obvious the above features. Claim 1 has been amended to more

clearly recite these features.

In view of the above comments, Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 1, as

amended, is neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, and

reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 59

The comments provided above with regard to Claim 1 are herein incorporated by

reference. Claim 59 have been amended similarly to Claim 1 to more clearly recite the

embodiments therein. Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 59, as amended, are likewise

neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, when considered alone or in

combination. Reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-15, 17-20, 60-74, and 76-79

Claims 2-15, 17-20, 60-74, and 76-79 depend from and include all of the features of

Claims 1 or 59. Claims 2-15, 17-20, 60-74, and 76-79 are not addressed in detail herein.

Applicant respectfully submits that these claims are allowable at least as depending from an

allowable independent claim, and further in view of the amendments to the independent claims,

and the comments provided above. Reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

IV. Additional Amendments

Claims 81-83 have been newly added by the present Reply. Applicant respectfully

requests that new Claims 81-83 be included in the Application and considered therewith.

11

Reply to Office Action dated: August 21, 2009

Reply dated: October 21, 2009

V. <u>Conclusion</u>

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 21, 2009 By: /Kuiran (Ted) Liu/

Kuiran (Ted) Liu Reg. No. 60,039

Customer No.: 80548
FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108

Telephone: (415) 362-3800

Fax: (415) 362-2928